UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,601	09/12/2003	R. Donald Grafton	A8130.0153/P153	7642
24998 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 07/06/201 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STR	EET NW	RYCKMAN, MELISSA K		
Washington, DC 20006-5403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/660,601	GRAFTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replication	same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this es: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	on and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
, , ,	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corre NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S	esponding number of finally rejected claims.  See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowa</li> </ul>	ble if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,8-14 and 16-19.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	vill not be entered, or b) ⊠ will be entered and an explanation of I below or appended.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attrached Information Displaceure Statement(s) (DTG)</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTC</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	лоы∪о) ⊬aper No(s)
/Melissa Ryckman/	/Darwin P. Erezo/
Examiner, Art Unit 3773	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues Grafton teaches against the claimed invention because Gafton states "that a need exists for a bioabsorbable suture anchor having a suture loop that does not extend beyond the drive head and does not abrade tissue". The examiner's response is there are multiple needs, and Grafton is teaching one of the needs, in col. 2, II. 49, Grafton states it is advantagous to prevent the suture from touching the wall, this enhances the reasoning for combining Grafton with Greenfield.

The applicant argues Greenfield does not teach a suture eyelet completely within the anchor body. The examiner disagrees, as seen in Fig. 5 the eyelet is completely within the anchor body (as stated in the previous rejection)..